

Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear LLP*Intellectual Property Law*

550 West C Street
Suite 1200
San Diego CA 92101
Tel 619-235-8550
Fax 619-235-0176
www.kmob.com

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET**CONFIRMATION COPY WILL FOLLOW VIA:**

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> MAIL | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WILL NOT FOLLOW |
| <input type="checkbox"/> INTERNATIONAL AIRMAIL | <input type="checkbox"/> HAND DELIVERY |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COURIER | <input type="checkbox"/> WITH ENCLOSURES |
| <input type="checkbox"/> E-MAIL | <input type="checkbox"/> WITHOUT ENCLOSURES |

Confidentiality Notice:

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain confidential information which may be legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the recipient named below. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original documents to us; and any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this faxed information is strictly prohibited.

TO: Ex Charles Appiah
FIRM: United States Patent and Trademark Office
FACSIMILE NO.: 703.746.5783
OUR REF.: RIEB3.001APC
YOUR REF.: 09/380,412
FROM: John M. Carson
OPERATOR: Kimberly E. Lengyel
DATE: June 11, 2004

NO. OF PAGES: 6 (incl. cover sheet)
TIME:

IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES PLEASE CALL BACK IMMEDIATELY
OPERATOR PHONE NO.: (619) 235-8550 FACSIMILE NO.: (619) 235-0176

MESSAGE:**INFORMAL COMMUNICATION – DO NOT ENTER**

Dear Examiner Appiah,

As discussed, attached is a copy of the Response to Final Office Action which we previously filed in Application No. 09/380,412.

Thank you.

Orange County
949-760-0000

San Francisco
415-954-4114

Los Angeles
310-551-3450

Riverside
909-781-9231

San Luis Obispo
805-547-5580

PATENT

Case Docket No. RIEB3.001APC

Date: June 4, 2004

Page 1

In re application of: Ljungstroem, et al.

App. No. : 09/380,412

Filed : January 19, 2000

For : CORDLESS COMMUNICATION
SYSTEM COMPATIBLE WITH
A PUBLIC MOBILE
COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

Examiner : Naghmeh Mehrpour

Art Unit : 2686

CERTIFICATE OF FAX TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all
marked attachments are being transmitted via
facsimile to the USPTO Central Fax No. (703)
872-9306 on the date shown below:

June 4, 2004

(Date)

John M. Carson, Reg. No. 34,303

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith please find the following in connection with the above-identified application.

- (X) Response to Final Office Action in 4 pages.
(X) Please use Customer No. 20,995 for the correspondence address.

John M. Carson
Registration No. 34,303
Attorney of Record
Customer No. 20,995
(619) 235-8550

S:\DOCS\JMC\JMC-7587.DOC 060404

RIEB3.001APC

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Ljungstroem, et al
Appl. No. : 09/380,412
Filed : January 19, 2000
For : CORDLESS COMMUNICATION
SYSTEM COMPATIBLE WITH A
PUBLIC MOBILE
COMMUNICATION SYSTEM
Examiner : Naghmeh Mehrpour
Group Art Unit : 2686

CERTIFICATE OF FAX TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all
marked attachments are being transmitted via
facsimile to the USPTO Central Fax No. (703)
872-9306 on the date shown below:

June 4, 2004

(Date)

John M. Carson, Reg. No. 34,303

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated April 16, 2004, Applicant submits the following
remarks for consideration in connection with the above-identified patent application.

Summary of Interview begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 3 of this paper.

Appl. No. : 09/380,412
Filed : January 19, 2000

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Identification of Claims Discussed

No particular claims were discussed.

Identification of Prior Art Discussed

U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0046850 to Blanke, et al., published November 19, 2001.

Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Applicant presented arguments directed to the finality of the Office Action mailed April 16, 2004 as being improper in response to the Request for Continued Examination and Amendment filed by Applicant on February 3, 2004. Applicant also presented arguments in regard to the unavailability of Blanke as prior art for the present application in view of the acknowledged priority claim to a foreign application filed February 28, 1997 for the present application and the later filing date of September 22, 1993 for the published Blanke application. Applicant thus requested issuance of a new, non-final Office Action based on available prior art.

Results of Interview

Examiners Mehrpour and Appiah indicated that they did not have the file for the present application in their possession for reference. Despite the fact that this application has had six Office Actions and five replies, it was insisted that Applicant's arguments would only be considered upon formal submission in a response to the Office Action dated April 16, 2004.

Verify Melody's application
after final response when
file is submitted to examiner